#### **OPINION 744**

## ABLABES CHINENSIS GÜNTHER, 1889 (REPTILIA): VALIDATED UNDER THE PLENARY POWERS

RULING.—(1) Under the plenary powers the specific name *sumichrasti* Bocourt, 1886, as published in the binomen *Henicognathus sumichrasti*, is hereby suppressed for the purposes of the Law of Priority but not for those of the Law of Homonymy.

(2) The specific name *sumichrasti* Bocourt, 1886, as published in the binomen *Henicognathus sumichrasti* (as suppressed under the plenary powers in (1) above) is hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific

Names in Zoology with the Name Number 827.

(3) The specific name *chinensis* Günther, 1889, as published in the binomen *Ablabes chinensis*, is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology with the Name Number 2092.

### HISTORY OF THE CASE (Z.N.(S.) 1532)

The present case was submitted to the office of the Commission by Professor Hobart M. Smith in May 1962. Professor Smith's application was sent to the printer on 27 July 1962 and was published on 26 April 1963 in *Bull. zool. Nomencl.* 20: 229. Public Notice of the possible use of the plenary powers in the present case was given in the same part of the *Bulletin* as well as to the other prescribed serial publications (Constitution Art. 12b; *Bull. zool. Nomencl.* 21: 184) and to two herpetological serials. No comment was received.

#### DECISON OF THE COMMISSION

On 12 February 1965 the Members of the Commission were invited to vote under the Three-Month Rule on Voting Paper (65)3 either for or against the proposals set out in *Bull. zool. Nomencl.* 20: 229. At the close of the prescribed voting period on 12 May 1965 the state of the voting was as follows:

Affirmative votes—twenty-one (21), received in the following order: China, Lemche, Mayr, Binder, Riley, Vokes, Stoll, Holthuis, Obruchev, Simpson, Alvarado, Tortonese, Uchida, Jaczewski, do Amaral, Forest, Miller, Mertens,

Ride, Brinck, Sabrosky.

Negative votes—one (1): Kraus.

Voting Papers not returned—three (3): Bonnet, Borchsenius, Hubbs.

Commissioners Evans, Boschma and Munroe returned late affirmative votes.

The following comments were made by Commissioners in returning their votes:

Dr. Otto Kraus (10.v.65): "There is no note in the original application giving information upon usage and importance of the name chinensis Günther, 1889."

Mr. C. W. Sabrosky (11.v.65): "I vote for this reluctantly. Dr. Smith has not demonstrated that the name *chinensis* is of such importance as to merit conservation. 'Universally accepted' may imply this, but even the name of a rare species could be so referred to."

# ORIGINAL REFERENCES

The following are the original references for names placed on the Official List and Index by the Ruling given in the present Opinion: chinensis, Ablabes, Günther, 1889, Ann. Mag. nat. Hist. (6)4: 220 sumichrasti, Henicognathus, Bocourt, 1886, Mission scientifique au Mexique (10): 628-630, pl. 41, fig. 5

### CERTIFICATE

We certify that the votes cast on Voting Paper (65)3 were cast as set out above, that the proposal contained in that Voting Paper has been duly adopted under the plenary powers, and that the decision so taken, being the decision of the International Commission, is truly recorded in the present Opinion No. 744.

G. OWEN EVANS
Secretary

W. E. CHINA
Assistant Secretary

International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature
London

25 May 1965